

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Г	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/721,940 11/25/2003		Rex Coppom	STR0001	8310
	25235	7590 04/27/2005		EXAMINER	
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP				CHIESA, RICHARD L	
	ONE TABOR CENTER, SUITE 1500				
	1200 SEVEN'	TEENTH ST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DENVER, CO 80202				1724	

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action								
Before the Filing of an Appeal	Brief							

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/721,940	COPPOM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Richard L. Chiesa	1724		

	Dishard L. Ohisaa	4704					
	Richard L. Chiesa	1724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED on April 15, 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No.	after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of plicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which on in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or only on the discussion of						
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  MENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brio	f will not be entered	honouso				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			because				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		TE Delowy,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ w	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	vided below or appended.		•				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) rejected: <i>Claims 1-24</i> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to d</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>		•					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by Note attached pages 2 and 3.</li> </ol>	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:							
		Richard L. Chiesa					
		Primary Examiner					

Art Unit: 1724

Application/Control Number: 10/721,940

Art Unit: 1724

## Response to Arguments

1. Contrary to applicants' comments, the conductive electrodes 2 and 3 appear to be affixed to the fibrous filter media 4 at the pleat tips in the Pick ('372) filter assembly (note col. 3, lines 20-47). Furthermore, Pick ('372) apparently discloses the same technique (note Figure 12, and col. 5, lines 26-33) for affixing the electrodes to the filter media as in applicants' filter assembly.

- 2. Despite applicants' assertion to the contrary, the Coppom ('476) reference has been cited at col. 7, lines 40-61 since the first Office action (dated July 2, 2004) to teach a uniform electric field strength.
- 3. Applicants' remaining arguments have apparently already been addressed in paragraph 3 on pages 2 and 3 of the final Office action (dated February 15, 2005).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Chiesa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1154.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane S. Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0987.

Facsimile correspondence must be transmitted through (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/721,940

Art Unit: 1724

system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard L. Chiesa April 25, 2005

> RICHARD L. CHIESA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1724

april 25, 2005

Richard L. Chiesa

Page 3